## TOWN OF CORTLANDT PLANNING AND ZONING BOARDS

PLANNING BOARD MEETING

April 4, 2023

## MEMBERS PRESENT:

Steven Kessler, Chairman

Thomas A. Bianchi, Vice-Chairman

David Douglas, Member

Nora Hildinger, Member

Kevin Kobasa, Member

Peter McKinley, Member

Jeffrey Rothfeder, Member

## ALSO PRESENT:

Chris Kehoe, AICP, Director of Planning
Michael J. Cunningham, Deputy Town Attorney
Joseph Fusillo, P.E., Planning Board Engineer

1	June 6, 2023
2	(The board meeting commenced at 6:30 p.m.)
3	MR. STEVEN KESSLER: Welcome to the June
4	6th planning board meeting. Please rise for the
5	pledge.
6	MULTIPLE: I pledge allegiance to the
7	flag of the United States of America and to the
8	Republic for which it stands, one nation under
9	God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for
10	all.
11	MR. STEVEN KESSLER: Thank you. Chris,
12	roll please.
13	MR. CHRIS KEHOE: Mr. Kobasa?
14	MR. KEVIN KOBASA: Here.
15	MR. KEHOE: Ms. Hildinger?
16	MS. NORA HILDINGER: Here.
17	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Rothfeder?
18	MR. ROTHFEDER: Here.
19	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Kessler?
20	MR. KESSLER: Here.
21	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Bianchi?
22	MR. THOMAS BIANCHI: Here.
23	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Douglas?
24	MR. DAVID DOUGLAS: Here.

	Page -
1	June 6, 2023
2	MR. KEHOE: Mr. McKinley?
3	MR. PETER MCKINLEY: Here.
4	MR. KESSLER: Can I please have a motion
5	to adoption the minutes of our May 2 meeting?
6	MR. MCKINLEY: So moved
7	MR. KESSLER: Second, please.
8	MR. KOBASA: Second.
9	MR. KESSLER: On the question. All in
10	favor?
11	MULTIPLE: Aye.
12	MR. KESSLER: Opposed? We have no
13	changes to the agenda this evening. Our first
14	item under correspondence, a letter received by
15	the planning department on May 23, 2023 from
16	Albert Picarello of Down Cycle, requesting the
17	first one year time extension of site development
18	plan approval for the subject property located at
19	2015 Albany Post Road. Peter?
20	MR. MCKINLEY: I move to make a motion
21	for approval for a time extension to the site
22	development plan for 2022-6, Down Cycle.
23	MR. KESSLER: And that's resolution
24	number?

1	Page June 6, 2023
2	MR. MCKINLEY: 5-23.
3	MR. KESSLER: 5-23. You got a second,
4	please?
5	MR. BIANCHI: Second.
6	MR. KESSLER: On the question. All in
7	favor?
8	MULTIPLE: Aye.
9	MR. KESSLER: Opposed? Next item under
10	correspondence, a letter dated May 25, 2023 from
11	Judson Siebert, requesting the first one year
12	time extension of conditional site plan approval
13	for the Courtland CSG, LLC application for a
14	solar energy system located on Lexington Avenue.
15	Nora?
16	MS. HILDINGER: I make a motion to
17	approve the extension of the conditional site
18	plan approval for the Courtland CSG, LLC
19	application for a Solar Energy System located on
20	Lexington Avenue.
21	MR. KESSLER: And that will be
22	resolution number 6-23. Second please.
23	MR. MCKINLEY: Second.
24	MR. KESSLER: On the question?

1	June 6, 2023
2	MR. KEHOE: Do you have anything you
3	wish to add?
4	MR. JUDSON K. SIEBERT: I'm here only
5	if, if you have questions to ask. It's a little
6	unorthodox because I represent
7	MR. KEHOE: Could you just, just state
8	your name?
9	MR. SIEBERT: Judson Siebert of Keane &
10	Beane, P.C., principal member of Keane & Beane
11	P.C., a little unorthodox because I represent the
12	fee owner of the property to whom the, the
13	approval has been assigned. But we do request a
14	one year extension.
15	MR. BIANCHI: And you're looking for
16	somebody else to take over the
17	MR. SIEBERT: That's correct.
18	MR. BIANCHI: Take over the development?
19	MR. SIEBERT: Yes. Yeah.
20	MR. BIANCHI: Okay.
21	MR. ROTHFEDER: And there's people in
22	line for that at this Point, or
23	MR. SIEBERT: We are looking for the
24	extension so that we can pursue those efforts.

	Dage '
1	June 6, 2023
2	MR. ROTHFEDER: Okay.
3	MR. KESSLER: Do you anticipate any
4	changes to what's been
5	MR. SIEBERT: None, and in fact as
6	MR. KESSLER: [unintelligible]
7	[00:02:44]
8	MR. SIEBERT: As I indicated in my
9	letter, whoever steps into the shoes of CSG will
10	assume all of the obligations that they undertook
11	in both your approval, the special permit issued
12	by the town board, the pilot agreement that's
13	been negotiated, as contemplated under your town
14	code.
15	MR. KESSLER: And you're aware that if
16	there are any substantial changes, you have to
17	MR. SIEBERT: Absolutely.
18	MR. KESSLER: come back. Okay. So
19	we're on the question. All in favor?
20	MULTIPLE: Aye.
21	MR. KESSLER: Opposed? Okay.
22	MR. SIEBERT: Thank you.
23	MR. KESSLER: Thank you. Next item
24	resolution, it's the application of Hudson Ridge

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

Wellness Center for site development plan approval, and a special permit for a hospital to be located at the former Hudson Institute property to provide a New York State Office of Addiction Services and Support certified facility to treat individuals with chemical dependency issues located at 2016 Quaker Ridge Road. Good evening.

MR. BOB DAVIS: Good evening, Mr. Chairman. We're here tonight. We, I think we had a very productive work session to go over some of the matters. We're here tonight for the board's consideration of a resolution. If the board has any questions, particularly on the OASAS aspect of the, of the matter that we were discussing in the work session, we do have our two licensing consultants here from Cicero Consulting Associates, Frank Cicero and Brian Baldwin. They are familiar with the issues that you're raising, particularly the issue of the timing of the, the final approval of OASAS, which of course I've represented to the board cannot come until they inspect the work that we've done on, on the site,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

mainly interior to the buildings. They're not really concerned with the site plan in, in, in broad terms, which you'll talk about. They're concerned with the interior and the program, not with the site plan. And we, there is a provision in the draft resolution that if there's any changes, which OASAS might make, which are unanticipated that would affect the purview of your board, maybe with respect to traffic, something along those, that nature, we do have to come back to the planning board. That's one protection of the town. The other protection is we cannot operate without their final approval. So with that being said, if I could turn matters over to our two consultants, be happy to answer your questions.

MR. KESSLER: Sure.

MR. DAVIS: Mr. Cicero and Mr. Baldwin.

MR. FRANK CICERO: Frank Cicero.

MR. BRIAN BALDWIN: Brian Baldwin.

MR. KESSLER: Okay. So we were discussing this at the work session. So you have submitted the prior consult form to the

	Page 10
1	June 6, 2023
2	appropriate parties?
3	MR. CICERO: Yes.
4	MR. KESSLER: This, so we, I guess we
5	want to understand the process. So this is not an
6	application.
7	MR. CICERO: That's correct.
8	MR. BALDWIN: That's correct.
9	MR. KESSLER: And you have formally put
10	in an application to OASAS?
11	MR. CICERO: No, I'll go through the
12	may I go through the process?
13	MR. KESSLER: Yeah.
14	MR. CICERO: That would be just to try -
15	_
16	MR. KESSLER: I wish we did this six
17	months ago, but please.
18	MR. CICERO: Sure. So first of all, this
19	process is one that really focuses on the local,
20	including local bodies like this one and a prior
21	consult is required. And, and you're required to
22	get a an invitation, if you will. It's not, it's
23	not an approval, but an invitation after your
24	prior consultation from, in this case, the local

2.3

2.4

governmental unit being the Westchester County

Department of Health and Mental Health and

OASAS's local field office. So you, so you're

required in this process before you can apply,

before you can submit an application to the state

OASAS to submit a prior consultation request,

which is relatively detailed. And in our long

experience, has become more detailed over time,

more focused on local input. We've submitted

that. We're very close we believe to having the

invitation to submit the application.

Our only outstanding item before we'll have a brief conference call and we believe we'll be invited, is to do a little bit more outreach locally. So to talk to a couple local politicians to let them know that there's going to be an application and to connect with some other providers who are already in the OASAS field.

Again, to talk about local process, they want to make sure, the state OASAS wants to make sure that when this application goes in, we have a system in place to be able to work with other providers. This will be, and, and that's

2.3

something that the county has expressed to us,

County Department of Mental Health, that they're,

they're happy with. This will be the first

application of this type of this provider and

will fill a need in their care continuum.

But they want you before you're applying to be able to say, okay, I talked to somebody who, if someone's discharged from here, they'll be able to get into this type of facility. Or if someone has the type of patient we're going to care to care for, we know them already. They're going to be able to refer to us. So that's what prior consultation is about, developing those types of relationships and making sure that the two local bodies, the county and the field office, are comfortable with this project. And we, we feel we're almost there.

MR. KESSLER: So once you establish those relationships to the satisfaction of the local government unit and the regional office, they then go to OASAS and say, these guys know what they're doing, and that opens the door for you to submit your application?

2.4

MR. CICERO: Correct. We, we all sign the, the consult form. We submit that with our application to OASAS, and then the OASAS review at the state level is mainly a programmatic character and competence and financial feasibility review.

MR. KESSLER: And I would imagine that's a pretty thick document.

MR. CICERO: It will be, yes.

MR. KESSLER: And that's what, then they will review. And talk to me -- all of us -- about, then you submit that document, and OASAS then says, we like your program, we want you to change these things, but ultimately they're going say, we we're satisfied with the programmatic aspects of the services you're going to be providing?

MR. CICERO: Correct. Yes. So it's a, an application to OASAS. They will review it, they will send it to their body of government appointed or governor appointed people called the Behavioral Health Services Advisory Council, which makes a recommendation, along with the

1	June 6, 2023
2	OASAS staff and the county to the commissioner
3	of, of OASAS. And we will receive an approval
4	that says the program, the character and
5	competence and the financial feasibility and the
6	public need have been met.
7	MR. KEHOE: You said you'll receive an
8	approval?
9	MR. CICERO: Yes.
10	MR. KEHOE: In what form does that
11	approval come?
12	MR. CICERO: That approval
13	MR. KEHOE: A letter?
14	MR. CICERO: will be, it'll be a
15	letter from the commissioner at the, at the end
16	of the process.
17	MR. KESSLER: Approving the program?
18	MR. CICERO: Correct.
19	MR. KESSLER: And then is there a site
20	visit that takes place?
21	MR. CICERO: Yes.
22	MR. KESSLER: Subsequent to the
23	approval?
24	MR. BALDWIN: Yes.

2.3

2.4

MR. CICERO: Yeah, why don't you talk about the site visit?

MR. BALDWIN: Right, in other words, the, the approval process that Frank just described is there, there's two steps to it. The first is OASAS and the local governmental unit have to recommend approval. And then it goes to the Behavioral Health Services Council, which is a statewide group of people who, as Frank indicated, are appointed by the governor, and they make a recommendation for approval based on the recommendations that they have received from the local governmental unit and the field office.

And then after that, that recommendation of the council is given to the commissioner. Then she issues what usually is called a conditional approval or a contingent approval. And the main contingency is the site visit.

MR. CICERO: Right.

MR. BALDWIN: And after that, then the final step is the issuance of a OASAS license for the program.

MR. KESSLER: Got it.

1	June 6, 2023
2	MR. KEHOE: So I guess the question is
3	at what, what document do you want?
4	MR. KESSLER: Well, it's, I think
5	MR. KEHOE: And at what point do you
6	want it?
7	MR. KESSLER: I think we, I think we got
8	the answer. I think it's the, it's the
9	conditional approval.
10	MR. DAVIS: Are you talking about the
11	neg dec?
12	MR. KEHOE: And, and
13	MR. KESSLER: But, but that's not what
14	you said. You know, you said the submission here,
15	I mean, that's different than what's in the
16	resolution.
17	MR. KEHOE: Well, originally, I think it
18	said conditional approval a month or two ago. And
19	we didn't like conditional, so you just said
20	approval. But then there's different language
21	that recently came in about this pre-consult.
22	MR. KESSLER: Yeah, right.
23	MR. KEHOE: Which
24	MR. KESSLER: Yeah. So it's not the pre-

1	June 6, 2023
2	consult. The pre-consult is just really first
3	quarter of the game?
4	MR. CICERO: Right.
5	MR. BALDWIN: Roughly, yes.
6	MR. KESSLER: And, and so let's one
7	more time. So the, the two local agencies make
8	their recommendation to approve, it goes to
9	OASAS. And then what's this other board? What is
10	it?
11	MR. CICERO: It's the Behavioral Health
12	Services Advisory Council.
13	MR. KESSLER: It goes from OASAS to this
14	other board, is that correct?
15	MR. CICERO: So it goes to OASAS. OASAS
16	staff, if you will, makes a recommendation.
17	MR. KESSLER: Okay.
18	MR. CICERO: It goes to the Behavioral
19	Health Services Advisory Council. They review the
20	project at a public meeting just like this. They
21	make a, a follow-up recommendation to the
22	commissioner, and then the commissioner considers
23	the recommendations of the county, the local
24	government, the, the field office, its own, her

1 June 6, 2023 own staff, and the Behavioral Health Services 2 Advisory Council and issues an approval. 3 MR. KESSLER: So it's the commissioner 4 5 approval, and then it's the site visit? MR. CICERO: Correct. 6 7 MR. KESSLER: Okay. So it sounds like it's the commissioner approval? But that's what 9 I'm hearing. Does that, does that sound right to 10 everybody? So that's, that's after the local 11 boards have seen it, it's after OASAS has seen 12 it, they've made their recommendation. It goes to 13 this behavioral council who then recommends it to 14 the commissioner. And the commissioner gives you 15 a letter saying, thumbs up? 16 MR. CICERO: Correct. 17 MR. BIANCHI: Okay. Now, physically, 18 when does that happen compared to the timeline of 19 the construction? Does it happen at the end of 2.0 what the development of the site plan or 21 somewhere in the middle or where? 22 MR. CICERO: It's -- if construction, 2.3 construction is allowed to occur prior to that 2.4 time.

2.3

2 MR. KEHOE: You said if.

MR. CICERO: Yeah. No, I, I don't -- strike the if. Construction is allowed to occur at an applicant's risk prior to that time.

MR. KEHOE: Well, but not without --

MR. CICERO: Right.

MR. KEHOE: See that, I think that's what Bob was getting at maybe prior to you were here. Our building officials won't issue a building permit until they get a signed site plan from the planning board. And so that's what the, they're trying to figure out when the chairman can sign it, which would allow the code official to allow whatever needs a permit to get a permit.

MR. DAVIS: So what I, I think what we're saying is that -- and Frank, correct me if I'm wrong, is what we had originally provided in the neg dec.

MR. KEHOE: Oh, you need Bob, you need the microphone.

MR. DAVIS: I'm sorry. I think, and, and Frank will -- and Brian will correct me if I'm wrong. But I, I think what we're saying is the

same thing that we had originally was condition one to the negative declaration, which is that prior to the chairman signing the plan, which entitles you then for a building per-, to go for a building permit, we need this conditional approval that Frank has referenced. Not, not the final approval, not the final letter from the commissioner.

MR. KEHOE: But I, I think, I think we - you'd like more. No, I think you'd like more
words than just conditional approval. Conditional
approval from the behavior, whatever the --

MR. KESSLER: From the commissioner -- from the commissioner, it sounds like.

MR. CICERO: Yeah. Actually, let me just actually clarify the letter -- the conditional approval letter for OASAS is not signed by the commissioner. It's signed by the director of the Bureau of Certification acting on behalf of the commissioner.

MR. KESSLER: Right. Who sends it then to this board and the, and the commission sends it --

1	June 6, 2023
2	MR. DAVIS: No, no, this is after, after
3	that. After it goes to the Behavioral Health
4	Services Council
5	MR. KESSLER: Yeah.
6	MR. DAVIS: and they recommend
7	approval, then that recommendation is given to
8	the commissioner, and on her behalf, the director
9	of the Bureau of Certification signs the
10	conditional approval letter.
11	MR. KEHOE: So you want conditional
12	approval from the director the Bureau of
13	Certification
14	MR. DAVIS: Of certification, right.
15	MR. KESSLER: That's who it is?
16	MR. BIANCHI: And then they do the site
17	visit after that? That's, that's the condition?
18	MR. CICERO: That's
19	MR. KESSLER: So at that point, you
20	they love your program, and now let me just see
21	how you're going deliver it at the site?
22	MR. CICERO: Right.
23	MR. KESSLER: Okay.
24	MR. CICERO: That's correct.

1	June 6, 2023
2	MR. KESSLER: That's sounds like that
3	sounds like the
4	MR. CUNNINGHAM: And is the Bureau of
5	Certification, is that part of OASAS, or that's
6	part of a different
7	MR. DAVIS: Yes, it is part of OASAS.
8	MR. KEHOE: So could we say from the,
9	the OASAS director or the director
10	MR. CICERO: Director of the Bureau of
11	Certification of OASAS.
12	MR. KEHOE: Of OASAS.
13	MR. KESSLER: So that'll trigger the
14	chairman to sign the site plan?
15	MR. CICERO: Right. That's the trigger.
16	MR. KESSLER: And then they get their
17	building permits, and and then it can go ahead
18	
19	MR. CUNNINGHAM: And then, then we can
20	add a condition on, too, as part of that
21	condition that no certificate occupancy or
22	temporary certificate of occupancy until you get
23	the, the proof of license from OASAS. So there'd
24	be no certificate, they couldn't operate then

1	June 6, 2023
2	until they get their final license?
3	MR. KESSLER: Oh, yes. Yes.
4	MR. DAVIS: That's
5	MR. KESSLER: Yeah, a C of O, yeah,
6	yeah.
7	MR. CISERO: That's correct. We, we
8	cannot operate until a site visit is made by
9	OASAS, and they're satisfied with what was
10	constructed.
11	MR. KESSLER: And is OASAS the final say
12	at that point?
13	MR. CISERO: Yes.
14	MR. BALDWIN: Yes.
15	MR. KESSLER: Okay.
16	MR. BALDWIN: And they issue a, a
17	operating certificate from OASAS to operate that
18	program.
19	MR. BIANCHI: Okay.
20	MR. KESSLER: This has
21	MR. BIANCHI: that's good.
22	MR. KESSLER: been most enlightening
23	and helpful. Thank you. Yeah. So with that,
24	let's, let's go through the changes to the

2.3

resolution, so that we have them on the record from the draft resolution. All right. So we're, we're changing the date. Ralph submitted a revised drawing, so we're going, but Ralph -- I think your, your drawing might say 2022 rather than 2023. I think it's June 2, 2023 is the latest revision. I think we're agreeing to all of the suggestions that we discussed at the work session. You know, which were highlighted in red about the trees and the shrubs, the waiver of the 26 spaces. Then I'm going on page six that the operator has represented that they --

MR. KESSLER: Well, no, wait, wait, wait. You were going also make a change about the tennis court.

MR. KEHOE: Oh, yeah. Sorry. I'm -- a whereas clause on page four, we're referencing a future tennis court and pool.

MR. KESSLER: Right. Which will come back to the planning board when it's proposed.

MR. KEHOE: Yep. And then top of page six to another whereas clause that the operator has represented they have filed its application

1	June 6, 2023
2	with OASAS.
3	MR. KESSLER: Well, I mean technically,
4	we now know that's not true.
5	MR. DAVIS: They can, you can simply
6	change the language to, to the, what would you
7	call it, what was filed?
8	MR. CICERO: Prior, prior consult
9	request.
10	MR. KESSLER: Applicant has filed, has
11	yeah
12	MR. BIANCHI: Has submitted
13	MR. KESSLER: Yeah
14	MR. BIANCHI: prior consult request.
15	MR. KESSLER: Submitted the, the
16	required prior consult forms or something.
17	MR. KEHOE: Okay. So then the, the
18	main reason for this discussion has to do with
19	the condition that we're just discussing now,
20	which we have decided to call
21	MR. KESSLER: Is condition two?
22	MR. BIANCHI: It's 2A, right?
23	MR. KEHOE: Yes.
24	MR. KESSLER: 2A.

1	June 6, 2023
2	MR. CUNNINGHAM: And so the
3	MR. KEHOE: Behavioral?
4	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Right. The chairman
5	won't sign until proof of, written proof of
6	conditional approval letter from
7	MR. KESSLER: Well, it should be the
8	chairman will sign upon
9	MR. CUNNINGHAM: The chairman will sign
10	upon receipt of the conditional approval letter,
11	letter from the Bureau of Certification of OASAS.
12	And no temporary certificate of occupancy or
13	certificate of occupancy until the operating
14	certificate from OASAS is received by the town.
15	MR. CICERO: No, actually, it has to be
16	the other way around. They won't issue an
17	operating certificate to a building that doesn't
18	have a certificate of occupancy.
19	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Okay. So we'll just
20	strike that second part.
21	MR. CICERO: Okay.
22	MR. CUNNINGHAM: About the TCOs and COs.
23	Okay.
24	MR. KESSLER: Perfect.

1	June 6, 2023
2	MR. KEHOE: And you'll help me with that
3	last one? MC?
4	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Yeah.
5	MR. DAVIS: When you're striking the,
6	the second aspect
7	MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'm, I'm striking that
8	second aspect related to COs
9	MR. KESSLER: Right.
10	MR. CUNNINGHAM: and TCOs. I'm
11	striking that aspect.
12	MR. DAVIS: The point is, they can't
13	get, they can't get the C of O until they have
14	that approval.
15	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Understood.
16	MR. DAVIS: They can't get the approval
17	without the C of O.
18	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Right.
19	MR. KESSLER: So is that, is that, is
20	that everything then, Chris?
21	MR. KEHOE: Yes.
22	MR. KESSLER: All right.
23	MR. ROTHFEDER: Did we have the change
24	about coming before the board? I, I don't

1	June 6, 2023
2	remember.
3	MR. KESSLER: Oh, yes.
4	MR. KEHOE: Oh, yeah, sorry, that's
5	another
6	MR. KESSLER: Thank you. Thank you,
7	Jeff.
8	MR. KEHOE: one. Condition number
9	three, the applicant shall submit a letter as a
10	correspondences item, and appear, appear in front
11	of the planning board.
12	MR. KESSLER: Well, yeah, or, or
13	requesting to, requesting to appear before the
14	board, yeah. Perfect. Is everybody good now? All
15	right, Mr. Douglas.
16	MR. DOUGLAS: Okay. I make a motion that
17	on case number PB 6-15, the application of Hudson
18	Ridge Wellness Center, that we adopt the draft
19	resolution with the modifications that have been
20	discussed this evening.
21	MR. KESSLER: Okay. That's, and that's
22	resolution 7-23.
23	MR. DOUGLAS: Is it seven? Yeah, but the
24	draft says X. Is it seven?

	Page 29
1	June 6, 2023
2	MR. KEHOE: Sorry.
3	MR. KESSLER: Oh, but it will be 7- 23.
4	MR. DOUGLAS: Okay.
5	MR. KEHOE: If the previous ones were
6	five and six.
7	MR. DOUGLAS: Right. They were.
8	MR. KESSLER: Okay. Second please.
9	MR. BIANCHI: Second
10	MS. HILDINGER: Second.
11	MR. KESSLER: On the question. All in
12	favor?
13	MULTIPLE: Aye.
14	MR. KESSLER: Opposed?
15	MR. DAVIS: Thank you very much.
16	MR. KESSLER: Thank you.
17	MR. BALDWIN: Thank you.
18	MR. KESSLER: Wow, it was very helpful.
19	MR. CICERO: Thank you.
20	MR. BIANCHI: Yeah.
21	MR. KESSLER: All right. Moving on.
22	Public hearings, the first item is a new public
23	hearing. It's the application of Pomona
24	Development for preliminary plat approval and for

2.3

steep slope wetland and tree removal permits for a proposed three-lot major subdivision of approximately 16.78 acres, property located on the south side of Revolutionary Road, 500 feet south of Eton Lane. Drawings latest revised April 26, 2023. Good evening.

MR. JIM ANNICCHIARICO: Good evening.

Jim Annicchiarico with Cronin Engineering,
representing Pomona Development, LLC. So the last
time we were here, we explained, you know, the
changes that we had made to the subdivision,
switching from a proposed sewer main to septic
systems, individual septic systems for each lot.

I'm happy to answer any questions the board may
have, unless you want me to kind of re-explain
things again?

MR. KESSLER: Yeah, in case there are people in the public that want to comment.

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Sure.

MR. KESSLER: Yeah, please go -- just give us a thumbnail of this.

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Sure. So we have three lots, three, three proposed lots on a 16-

2.3

acre site. This site was subdivided years ago and a lot was, a lot was subdivided and chopped off in the back. So we are now before you with a different applicant, who has purchased the property since that time. We have originally came in I think with five or six lots.

MR. BIANCHI: How about nine?

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Well, nine, nine a long time ago. But we are down to three lots. It's about what fits comfortably on the, the site. We have a right of way that has an existing driveway that accesses the property. It's about a 450 foot long stem that comes off Revolutionary Road or Eton Lane. That drive will be widened, improved, you know, rough graded to, to be a common driveway, if you will, for the three lots.

MR. KESSLER: Is that where you cross the wetland?

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: We do cross the wetland. The wetland, which --

MR. KESSLER: Chris, can you point out where, where the crossing of the wetland takes place?

2.3

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yeah. There, there's an existing pipe that's in fairly poor condition that takes water from the wetland B and discharges it across the, the driveway there onto the neighboring property. And eventually it ends up in a pond on that property.

MR. KESSLER: So that's under, this is an existing pipe under the road?

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: It's an existing pipe under the driveway, correct. It's a corrugated metal pipe. It's in, you know, fairly poor condition, like I mentioned.

MR. KESSLER: Has it been maintained at all over these years?

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Well, I mean, it's probably been there for 30, 30 years, maybe longer. You know, that house, the existing house there was probably built in the fifties. I don't know how long it's been there, but it's, it's been there a fairly long time. My opinion, you know, that that wetland that has been mapped out into the right of way is probably due to that pipe not being able to take the water into it.

2.3

There's really no headwall on it or anything like that. You know, it's probably just seepage out of the stonewall that, that is along that side of the driveway. So my opinion, you know, that's not really a, a functional wetland, if you will.

Certainly the wetland B behind it is, but --

MR. KEHOE: And this has been referred to our consultant, Paul Jaehnig, and he did send an email a week or so ago, which I forwarded to Jim.

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Right.

MR. KEHOE: And Jim is going to, you know, work with your own wetland consultant or your own staff and, and develop a response. Paul Jaehnig's comments were not significant.

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Right. We've, I've

I've contacted Steve Miller, uh, Steve Marino of

Tim Miller Associates. So I'm, I'm waiting to

hear back from him to engage him in, you know,

his opinion on, on it. So we are, we are planning

to, you know, replace that pipe.

MR. KESSLER: Sure.

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: And I think that'll

1	June 6, 2023
2	actually we would replace that pipe, put a,
3	you know, a real headwall behind the wall, funnel
4	the funnel the wetland water into the pipe,
5	and I think that would you know, dry up any
6	seepage that's, you know, occurring on, out onto
7	the, the driveway itself.
8	MR. ROTHFEDER: So do you think the
9	wetland is from the seepage? Is that what you're
10	saying?
11	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Well, I think the,
12	where the wetland is mapped out in the right of
13	way is due to the seepage.
14	MR. ROTHFEDER: I see.
15	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Not it's due to
16	the, the water not being able to get into the
17	pipe.
18	MR. ROTHFEDER: Right, right. I got you.
19	MR. KESSLER: All right. This is a
20	public hearing. Is there anybody in the audience
21	that wishes to comment? Sure. Come on up. State
22	your name and address for the record, please.
23	MR. MATT PASCARELLA: Good evening. My
24	name's Matt Pascarella, and I'm a resident of 7

2.3

2.4

Fox Hill Road. And I just put a little statement together for this evening's meeting. So, good evening distinguished members of the Town

Planning Board and my local fellow residents. I felt moved to attend the meeting due to my proximity to the proposed Pomona Development

Plan. I reside adjacent to the property via the Fox Hill Road. While respecting private property rights, I also believe that we live in a time that requires greater oversight for environmental concerns. Decisions may have already been made and experts consulted, but perhaps I can share some insight and perspective as a resident being directly affected by the proposed plan.

The town of Cortlandt Code chapter 283, not only would change the beauty of the visual landscape that my neighbors and myself enjoy, but would also remove the protective canopy of mature trees with nothing to replace it. Even if the Pomona Group chooses, it's their choice, as I understand, to replant trees versus simply contribute to an environmental restoration fund, it would take decades to match the existing

2.0

2.3

2.4

protection that the current woods provides. This in turn affects soil erosion and its effects pertaining to, I believe, chapter 259, steep slopes. My neighbors and I all live downhill from the proposed site and would all experience the soil erosion effects firsthand. More important, the local wildlife of deer, fox, groundhogs, squirrels, frogs, turtles, assorted birds that have used this as a dependable water source would have to deal with pollution and site runoff.

It takes six to two weeks to complete one septic system, from what I've read, and three will be required. This is an unacceptable amount of time, considering the clear cutting and lack of protection for the ground. The spirit of 259 seems to speak to this very concern. I'd also wonder if the Town Conservation Advisory Council has already inspected the property and the surrounding area.

In closing, may I say that all residents of Fox Hill Road with access to the main municipal sewer connection that Pomona has solicited directly, have all declined the offer

	Dec. 25
1	June 6, 2023
2	of \$30,000. This by itself illustrates the
3	attitude and sentiment towards the proposed
4	project. I believe I would speak for my fellow
5	neighbors by imploring the property owner to
6	possibly resubmit a proposal for one new home on
7	the property versus the three structures that are
8	under consideration. This would allow the woods
9	to remain as undisturbed as possible, which would
10	be the best outcome for the environment, and thus
11	for our town character as a whole. Thank you.
12	MR. KESSLER: What did the neighbors
13	turn down, just to be clear?
14	MR. PASCARELLA: A monetary offer of
15	\$30,000 to connect to the sewer main that would
16	allow the larger project to take place.
17	MR. KESSLER: That would allow them to
18	connect?
19	MR. PASCARELLA: Correct.
20	MR. KESSLER: And, and wait, just can
21	you zoom out? So where are you located in this?
22	MR. PASCARELLA: 7 Fox Hill Road.
23	MR. KESSLER: So where would that be on

24

this roughly?

1	June 6, 2023
2	MR. KEHOE: It's, it's these lots along
3	here.
4	MR. KESSLER: Oh, Over there. Okay. So,
5	so one of the houses would be in your backyard?
6	Okay.
7	MR. PASCARELLA: Yes.
8	MR. KESSLER: Okay. Well, thank you.
9	MR. PASCARELLA: Thank you very much.
10	Anybody else wish to comment? Nobody? Alright.
11	MR. KEHOE: Just for the record though,
12	too? You know, it would never happen in a million
13	years, but I was just looking through the
14	drawings, the lot count formula permits 21 lots
15	on the site, which was never a possibility. So I
16	did discuss with the neighbors, you know, that
17	from the potential of 21, which obviously wasn't
18	a realistic potential
19	MR. KESSLER: Right.
20	MR. KEHOE: Down to six, then down to
21	five, and then ultimately to three, you know, I -
22	_
23	MR. KESSLER: That was 21 with septic?
24	MR. KEHOE: No, that

2.3

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Just the math, the math of it, all.

MR. KEHOE: Yeah, that, that didn't -that's just the growth, the, the straight
formula, taking out all the environmental
constraints, but as you well know that that's
ceiling.

MR. KESSLER: Yeah, the upper limit. Yes, I understand.

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: You know, we did, we did offer money for an easement. We only needed about a 15-foot long easement through the, through the very back of the property to connect to the existing sewer easement that runs through those, the backs of those houses. That, unfortunately that sewer easement was never extended to the property line, which would've been a great idea even for, even for the existing house that that is, was there for -- and is still there.

MR. KESSLER: Where, where's is that easement? In between those lots there? Is that it,

2.3

2.4

MR. KEHOE: It's this right here.

MR. KESSLER: Oh, I see.

MR. KEHOE: And you can see it never touches this property.

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: There's, there's the most -- the line, the dotted line that's closest to our property line is actually a temporary construction easement line. So that, that easement is really, that line is really nothing in the terms of the easement itself. It's only a 15-foot wide easement. So we were -- we could have connected to the properties there that show now or formally Rice or Kleinknecht. I mean, we could have, you know, attempted to connect further up, but it, it probably would've required a pump system. But those were the two properties that we approached and made an offer to. And unfortunately that didn't work out.

Now, because of that, we have to cut down a few more trees on lot one. There, there are very few trees on lots two and three that need to come down. I think it's five and seven trees on each lot just to build. So lot one is

1	June 6, 2023
2	the, the lot with the most trees on it. And, you
3	know, I, I think the, the, the difference between
4	the sewer and the, the septic system itself on
5	that lot is only a difference of seven trees
6	anyway. But, it is seven more trees, mainly
7	because of the septic system that is required.
8	MR. KESSLER: Would the, other houses
9	have also gone into that?
10	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yeah, all, all three
11	houses would've connected to that sewer main
12	extension. That, that was our preferred, you
13	know, plan.
14	MR. BIANCHI: Where is the septic on lot
15	number one? I'm looking at
16	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: I don't know if
17	Chris could
18	MR. BIANCHI: Is it between the house
19	and the Fox Lane or wherever?
20	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: It's in the front of
21	the house.
22	MR. BIANCHI: It's in the front?
23	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yeah.
24	MR. BIANCHI: So what, in your

1	June 6, 2023
2	estimation, how many trees, I guess you'd call it
3	the backyard, would be affected?
4	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Chris, can you go to
5	the
6	MR. KEHOE: What, what plan? Oh, right
7	here.
8	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Oh, yeah, that plan.
9	MR. KEHOE: Right there.
10	MR. BIANCHI: I don't, I don't remember
11	if there's a plan for the trees.
12	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Right, that plan
13	right there So, on lot one, you have the house
14	with the driveway on the right and then the
15	septic system in front of the house, in the front
16	of the house.
17	MR. KEHOE: It's sort of hard to see,
18	but it's this area here.
19	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yeah, it would be
20	that area right there.
21	MR. BIANCHI: Okay. So how many trees in
22	the back are impacted?
23	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: See that dark dotted
2.4	line would be our limits of disturbance.

1	June 6, 2023
1	June 6, 2023
2	MR. BIANCHI: All right. So
3	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: So we wouldn't be re
4	removing any trees beyond that line.
5	MR. BIANCHI: And, and currently it
6	appears that there are quite a few beyond that.
7	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: There are. And we
8	do, obviously, you know, we, we prepared a plan
9	that meets zoning setbacks as well.
10	MR. KESSLER: What, what's the distance
11	between the house and the property line there,
12	roughly?
13	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Chris, if you go to
14	there is a zoning, zoning plan. It'll, it'll
15	show the distance from the back of the house to
16	the property line.
17	MR. KEHOE: Do you remember what number
18	that was?
19	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: I believe it was the
20	third drawing, fourth drawing.
21	MR. KEHOE: 87 feet.
22	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: ZC 3.1.
23	MR. KESSLER: I'm sorry. 80?
24	MR. KEHOE: Well, it's 87 feet to the

1	June 6, 2023
2	property line.
3	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Eighty-seven feet to
4	the property line from the back of the house.
5	MR. KESSLER: From the house?
6	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: From the house,
7	correct.
8	MR. KEHOE: But from the proposed house
9	to the property line, not to an existing house.
10	MR. KESSLER: Right. To the property
11	line. Okay.
12	MR. KEHOE: That dimension right there.
13	MR. KESSLER: Right.
14	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: You can see the
15	setback, the dotted line, the zoning setback
16	line, which is just beyond the one. That, that's
17	actually the setback, the zoning setback, the
18	rear yard setback.
19	MR. KESSLER: Okay. Do you think if this
20	was approved, it'd be a chance that the neighbors
21	would reconsider the septic?
22	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: It's a possibility.
23	I would hope so.
24	MR. KESSLER: And reconsider their

1 June 6, 2023 2 sewering? MR. ANNICCHIARICO: As we say, you know, 3 I mean, I, we'd rather put the money into the 4 5 sewer than into the septic systems, yeah. I mean, we'll be, you know, the septic systems may, well, 6 7 they will, you know, affect how many bedrooms we're allowed to build, so we'd much rather go 8 9 with the sewer. 10 MR. KEHOE: So you, if you decide to 11 move towards an approval, you'd be approving a 12 plan that shows a septic system. 13 MR. KESSLER: Right. 14 MR. KEHOE: Which they would then have 15 to file and get the health department to sign 16 off. But between your approval and the health 17 department, you could modify that, but you'd have 18 to come back to the board. 19 MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Correct. 20 MR. KESSLER: If, if the sewer became 21 viable, yeah. 22 MR. ANNICCHIARICO: If that became 2.3 viable, we'd go back to our sewer extension plan. 24 I'd prepare a sewer extension application to the

1	June 6, 2023
2	health department as part of the realty
3	subdivision application and get that signed off
4	on.
5	MR. KESSLER: Alright. Any more comments
6	from the board?
7	MR. ROTHFEDER: We don't want to
8	consider that before the we're planning a
9	resolution for next meeting?
10	MR. KESSLER: Meeting, yeah.
11	MR. ROTHFEDER: But I mean
12	MR. KEHOE: Well, your next meeting's
13	not until July 25th. So it would be up to the
14	applicant.
15	MR. ROTHFEDER: They could go back to
16	the residence and, and ask, be in between.
17	MR. KEHOE: But, but you, you would be
18	prepared.
19	MR. ROTHFEDER: Prepared.
20	MR. KEHOE: And you tell me otherwise,
21	but, you know, to, to do a septic one, right.
22	MR. ROTHFEDER: Could you do, could you
23	do that?
24	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: I'll let Cafo answer

2 that.

2.3

2.4

MR. ROTHFEDER: I thought that's what you wanted.

MR. CAFO BOGA: Yes. Frankly speaking,

I'm a bit at a loss why anyone would have

anything to complain about this. When I had my

first initial consultation with the town, I was

told that I would be able to hook up into a sewer

system and we proceeded under that idea. But then

later on, we found out that for whatever reason,

although I'm of the opinion that since the town

really owns the system they have the right to,

to, to approve that.

But since we didn't go that route, I decided to work directly with the three homes which our adjacent to the property in which either three of them work in terms of hookup. And I sent, first the engineers sent letters to all three of them, then I followed up twice, certified mail. And with some of them, I also met in person. And I decided to give some incentive. I said, if I spend money to dig a well, I might as well let them have it and it's for an

2.3

2.4

inconvenience, we are going inconvenience them for a day or two. It's really not even five meters of, not even five meters of, of a distance where we would have to hook up. But it didn't work out for whatever reason.

And then we had to come back and amend our application to go with the septic. So now we are ready to proceed with septic. We have done all the necessary work. But if I find that maybe they're have changed their mind or can see this in a different light, I am willing to go back, as the engineer said with the sewer system hookup, because that is better for the environment, better for anybody else.

In terms of trees, regardless which way we go, I think this what I'm planning to do there would be better even for the, for the, for the birds. Because in terms of trees, there are some dead trees, which are really, and some dilapidated homes and shacks which are used by kids and who knows what and we will get rid of that and we will get rid of all the dilapidated shacks and trees and all that. It would look

	Daga /
1	June 6, 2023
2	really decent, so that area will come back to
3	life. So in terms of, adding any annoyance to the
4	neighbors, I see it the, the other way around. It
5	will enhance their property and would make it
6	more valuable.
7	MR. ROTHFEDER: I appreciate that. So,
8	but it's okay with you to just go back and ask
9	them and
10	MR. BOGA: Oh, absolutely, absolutely.
11	Thank you.
12	MR. ROTHFEDER: That's fine, thank you.
13	MR. KESSLER: All right. Any other
14	comments? If not, Kevin?
15	MR. KOBASA: Sorry. Motion to close the
16	public hearing for planning board application 1-
17	16, application of Pomona Development, LLC for
18	preliminary plat approval and for steep slope
19	wetland and tree removal permits.
20	MR. KESSLER: Second please. We'll do,
21	we'll do both. Second, please.
22	MR. ROTHFEDER: Second.
23	MS. HILDINGER: Second.
24	MR. KESSLER: On the question. All in

	Dago b(
1	Page 50 June 6, 2023
2	favor?
3	MULTIPLE: Aye.
4	MR. KESSLER: Opposed? Let's do prepare
5	a resolution.
6	MR. KOBASA: Motion to prepare a
7	resolution for planning board 1-16, application
8	of Pomona Development, LLC, preliminary plat
9	approval for steep slope, wetland and tree
10	removal permits.
11	MR. KESSLER: Second?
12	MR. BIANCHI: Second.
13	MR. KESSLER: On the question?
14	MR. KEHOE: And just for the record, the
15	next meeting has been moved. We discussed it in
16	the past but it'll be July 25th.
17	MR. KESSLER: Right. So, we're on the
18	question. All in favor?
19	MULTIPLE: Aye.
20	MR. KESSLER: Opposed? All right. Thank
21	you.
22	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Thank you.
23	MR. BOGA: Thank you.
24	MR. KESSLER: Good luck. Our next public

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

hearing is the application of Bilal Ahmad for the property of Ace/Sport Realty Holding Corporation for site development plan approval and for steep slope tree removal and wetland permits for a proposed five-story, 93-room hotel for property located at 2054 East Main Street. Drawings latest revised May 31, 2023. Mr. Steinmetz, good evening.

MR. DAVID STEINMETZ: Good evening, Mr. Chairman, members of the board. Good to see all of you. David Steinmetz from the law firm of Zarin and Steinmetz, I'm pleased to be here this evening, representing Bilal Ahmad with regard to the proposed hotel application. I think you're all familiar with it. We did assemble and bring our entire team tonight in case there is specific questions. I'm joined tonight by my colleague, Brian Sinsabaugh, by both Matt Steinberg and Peter Gregory from DTS Provident, our engineers and planners, Christian Freeman, a landscape architect from Keplinger Freeman, Gordon Stansbury, our traffic engineer from GTS Consulting.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

We have appeared in front of your board numerous times. We have appeared in front of the zoning board. I'm going try to be very brief.

Steve, I don't say this to you very often, but feel free to cut me off if you want us to be shorter.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace$  . KESSLER: I was never shy about that.

MR. STEINMETZ: Our client, as you all know is a contract vendee of 2054 East Main Street. It's two different parcels in the CD zoning district. We are proposing a hotel, which is an as of right use on that property, a fourstory with a basement, which is construed under your code, technically as a five story building, 93 key Marriott hotel. It is branded as a Marriott Courtyard with amenities including a restaurant, a courtyard deck, a fitness center. Site improvements would include extensive landscaping, tiered retaining walls. And I would state for the record that I, I know I had the benefit of walking the property, I think with essentially all of you. We, we did have a rather

2.3

extensive site investigation so that you got to see the site, how it can be graded out, where the vegetation is, where additional landscaping can come in, how the functionality of the access points work.

And the applicant and our entire team appreciates the time and the attention all of you paid in coming out there. I think it really brings it to life. The property is owned by the Hirsch family. It was previously improved, many of, you know, with a single family home that burned down a number of years ago. I think we saw some remnants of it when we were when we were out there.

There is an existing curb cut on Jacobs Hill Road. And we have been working with your traffic engineers at HVEA, as well as your board to figure out the best geometry to access this particular site, both for functionality and for fire purposes. I think we have determined it is best to align it directly across from Pike Plaza, as we all saw when we were out there.

Footnote, while we're talking about

Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 256 West 38th Street, 10th Floor, New York, NY 10018

2.3

Jacobs Hill Road, Mr. Rothfeder knows that we would very much like to improve the turning circulation onto Jacobs Hill Road, as we all observed when we were out there and we continue to endorse that potential modification. I know that Chris raised that with DOT and I think HVEA signed off, on what was the phrase in terms of the, the striping? It was a great, great phrase.

MR. KEHOE: I, I'm, I don't remember exactly, but it's Dave Parker from New York State DOT and he owes me a letter or an email. He had a lot of ideas and opinions, which he's going to organize for me.

MR. STEINMETZ: Perfect. The record should be clear. Our client, this is not our client's first hotel. Mr. Ahmad owns several different hotels and is, is rather excited about coming onto Cortland Boulevard, coming onto this particular area, and has been seeking a hotel in this particular portion of Northern Westchester. As I have stated previously we, we believe that there is, continues to be a strong demographic demand for this hotel, for visitors to the

2.0

2.3

2.4

community, to some of the amenities in and around Cortlandt. And this is not a, a restaurant that would be a destination restaurant for outsiders or for functions, weddings, et cetera. This is a, an overnight stay hotel with a modest restaurant that will take care of the, the residents within it.

In terms of the, the building design to the extent that it's, it's a Marriott Courtyard, it's going to be, we believe, well accepted as a high quality design. It will blend with the surrounding landscaping, it's designed to have natural colors. We've shared that with you. We, we do have some materials that we can share. It's natural colored EIFS with wood accents. So we are trying to, and our client and Marriott, want this to be an attractive building that does not take over the viewshed. It's obviously going to be visible to some extent, as we have illustrated in our graphics from Route 6. but this is not something that's going to be overwhelming.

When we went out to the site, one of the benefits of the site inspection that we did

2.3

2.4

together, we saw the existing landscaping, we saw some of the significant tree cover on our property. We also saw some of the existing tree cover across the street at Parkway Drive. Those are our closest residential neighbors. And I know you all took into account the height and the magnitude of those trees.

In terms of components of the development, you've made it clear to us to explore renewable and sustainable elements. Our, our client is fully intending to provide two EV charging stations with three additional charging stations available. There will be conduits installed so that if there is a need and a demand, it's very easy to wire and run them. Marriott requires two. Marriott promotes this, but there is the notion that if there are too many and they're not used, they're not the best way to have parking spaces. So the, the availability of up to five of the 93 parking spaces will be of sustainable EV.

Footnote on parking, for the record, you all know we came in with more parking for the

2.3

2.4

hotel. It was advised that we explore providing additional landscaping in our parking lot reducing the need for a greater parking variance. Marriott has no objection to 93 parking spaces for the 93 rooms because the hotel is not 100 percent occupied, really at any time. And based upon a rather extensive array of empirical data, Marriott concludes that 93 parking spaces will function quite adequately and no customer will go home and not check in because they can't get parking.

MR. DOUGLAS: Can, can I, can I go back to the EV parking spaces for minute please?

MR. STEINMETZ: Sure.

MR. DOUGLAS: If you've got 93 spaces and you're only really proposing two, that's, you know, that's minimal percentage, 3 percent or so. And when you say you, the, the applicant is willing to, to have three additional, you know, ones turned -- if, if there's demand. To me that sounds, that's backwards. Because there's only going be demand, you know, the demand will be what, what's there. Because what'll happen is

2.3

that people going to the hotel, you know, if, if they've got electric vehicles, they'll call and say, do you have spaces and how many do you have? And the answer will be two. So they will either not come if they've got a solely electric vehicles, or like many people, they'll take their gas car because a lot of people have EV cars and also gas cars. They'll take the gas car. So it seems to me that, that, that in reality, you'll never increase the, the numbers. I don't see why the applicant should be, should be reluctant to increase it, to just put in the five charging stations.

MR. STEINMETZ: I'm happy Mr. Douglas, hearing your comment to take that back to my client and, and report back to you. I, I hear your comment. I have no desire to argue with you about whether somebody calls a hotel and says, do you have adequate EV capability for my car? I don't know if people do that. Mr. Ahmad owns a number of hotels. I would think he knows better than I. I'm happy to take your comment back. We want to address this issue. We are not unmindful

2.3

of it. And in addition to the EV, we are exploring the capability of putting solar on the roof of this hotel as well. No, no final determination has been made by the architects on that, but my client is interested in trying to make that a reality as well.

MR. ROTHFEDER: Okay. I would just add to what David said, that also leaving it up to, you know, perhaps doing it down the road leaves us out of it. You know, they, they may decide to do it or they may not, but we, we won't really know about it. So I would, I would second what he said.

MR. STEINMETZ: Understood. Happy to take that back and, and, and address it with you. Either we can craft a condition sort of like land banking, parking, where there is a subsequent analysis and determination of whether it's needed and it gets put in. So it's not -- of all the communities that I appear at before, and I'm in a lot of them, you do have post-approval monitoring and checkups more so than some others. And I commend you for that. And so I'm, I'm confident

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

Mr. Rothfeder, that you will know if there has been an unmet demand or if every single time somebody comes to the hotel from the town, you see those two EV spots are occupied and there must be a third car and you see that Tesla sitting there, it can't charge. But again, I'll come back to you on it.

MR. ROTHFEDER: Okay, thanks.

MR. STEINMETZ: When we first presented the application, I'm wrapping up shortly, Mr. Chairman, when we first presented the application back in October, one of the things that I and our team explained to you, we knew that we could not proceed with this application without certain variances from the Zoning Board of Appeals. And in a somewhat unusual fashion for me with this town, I strongly urged staff to allow us to take the application to the Zoning Board of Appeals very early in the process. Why? As much fun as it is to come before your board, I did not want to process this application in front of your board if the death knell of the application lay before the zoning board which was unwilling to grant

2.3

2.4

June 6, 2023

variances. So you were kind enough and staff was kind enough to allow us to get in front of the zoning board. We were in front of the zoning board and did hearings there back in February and March. The zoning board also conducted its own separate site inspection. Mr. Douglas, I don't remember exactly when you decided to change from the zoning board to the planning board. I think you were still there at, at some of those critical meetings.

MR. DOUGLAS: Yeah. The impetus for my changing is I wanted to go back for a second site visit. [laughter]

MR. STEINMETZ: I, I, I knew you seemed so anxious when I saw you there in May. Having said that it was, it was really valuable for us to do that because we were able to present to the zoning board. Our team was able to work with the board and while, you know, and we know we could not ask them to vote on the application because the SEQRA process had not been concluded, we got enough of a comfort level and our client got enough of a comfort level for us to continue

2.3

2.4

processing. In fact, you received some written materials, a memorandum from the zoning board indicating their inclination to grant the parking space and the parking lot variances and as well as the height and an actual statement that the board is in favor of granting the side yard setback variances.

So based upon that, we continued and, and we are now before your board. I say that because again, any approval by your board, we know we still have to go back to the zoning board of appeals, or any completion of the SEQRA process by your board, we still have to go back to the Zoning Board of Appeals and complete the ZBA variances. I just want the record to be clear on that.

We last appeared before your board last month. We conducted the site inspection. And I understand that there was a site inspection conducted today. I gather the weather allowed it. I know my, my colleague Brian was very worried he was sending people out into a bad storm. But I'm told everyone survived, Chris.

2.4

MR. KEHOE: He didn't come.

MR. STEINMETZ: I, I, that was on my advice. You should have seen the hail in White Plains. And I'm not kidding. You guys did not have it up here as I understand. We had significant hail in, in White Plains. The site inspection was conducted this afternoon by our landscape architect Christian and the town's consultants. I, I think that was productive. And I think they arrived at some suggestions regarding additional landscaping that we all talked about when we were on our site inspection.

So I, we were going to show our video tonight. We've been encouraged to not do that since it's already been made part of the record and been shown. Matt Steinberg is here. Matt, feel free, if you want to contribute and add anything further. Affirmatively, yes, no?

MR. MATT STEINBERG: I could walk through you real quick --

MR. STEINMETZ: Let's do it. So we're going do a very quick explanation of the site plan and then we are done.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

MR. KESSLER: We've got a public hearing to do.

MR. STEINMETZ: Is that acceptable, Mr. Chairman?

MR. KESSLER: Yeah, sure. Let's, let's get to the public.

MR. STEINBERG: Yeah. I'll be very brief. It's just to, to update you on what we submitted to this board. This plan was submitted to you. We, we colored it up a little bit just so that it, it stands out a little more. A couple of the questions that came up were the entryways. So you can see the, the red triangles designate some of the key entry points. So on the -- it's the north, the northwest side of the building, so the, the front side, the upper parking is the main entry. That's where you come in from the porte-cochére. That would be where guests would enter and, and, and go to either reception or the restaurant. That's where general parcels would be delivered, such as mail, UPS, Amazon, things like that. On the Bear Mountain Parkway side is a more of a service entry. That would be where refuse

2.3

2.4

and recycling would be taken out by staff. They would follow essentially that dotted line path to the refuse and recycling enclosure. That enclosure is eight feet by 20 feet. So that would accommodate two front load dumpsters. So dumpsters would be -- they're six feet wide, that's the standard for the truck to pick up. And then what's different is the depth of those, depending on how, how large they are, they would range from three to five to six feet in depth, which would fit inside that eight-foot depth of the refuse enclosure.

On the lower parking side which is facing East Main Street, that that entryway would be used for loading and the loading area is designated or shown in the parking -- in the parking, in the lower parking area. That entry also would be available for guests that park in that lower parking lot. So guests key cards would allow them into that space as well. That lower level also has a pool and a fitness center, so guests can come in and, and leave through that as well.

The storm water system that we talked about on site would be located subsurface in that parking lot, in that lower parking lot. And then the EV stations are also in that, that parking lot that we you talked about with, with Mr. Steinmetz.

MR. KESSLER: So, so the loading area is there, is there a loading dock? What exactly?

MR. STEINBERG: So there's, there is not a loading dock. The trucks, a box truck --

MR. KESSLER: The yellow, the yellow line there. That's the --

MR. STEINBERG: That is the sidewalk.

That is the walkway. That's what the, the path
they would take. And it is similar to other --

MR. KESSLER: That's where deliveries would go --

MR. STEINBERG: Deliveries would go into that. Yeah. So unlike a a retail store, so let's say a supermarket or a dry retail store that needs a full loading dock because they're essentially loading pallets and unloading an entire, if not, or half or, or an entire truck.

2.3

2.4

So they have to be there for a while and unload that into a receiving area. This would be more akin to the restaurant. So if you look at the restaurants along, along Route 6, any of the restaurants, the truck would pull up to the side of the building to a loading door and then they would unload it, generally with a hand truck. And they wouldn't be unloading an entire truckload of materials.

MR. KESSLER: So a truck would back up that yellow?

MR. STEINBERG: No, it would pull, it would just be able to pull right up against the back of that curb.

MR. KESSLER: Where it says loading area, a little boxed area?

MR. KEHOE: Yes.

MR. STEINBERG: It would just, it would pull up against, along that and they would be able to unload the materials and walk up that entire path.

MR. KEHOE: This, this was preliminary run by our code enforcement office and he said it

1	June 6, 2023
2	was fine.
3	MR. KESSLER: Okay.
4	MR. KEHOE: Preliminarily, I mean, you
5	know.
6	MR. KESSLER: Is there a service
7	elevator for that or are they going to go
8	MR. STEINBERG: There is a service
9	elevator, so there's two elevators inside the
10	building.
11	MR. KESSLER: Okay.
12	MR. KEHOE: Because I asked the same
13	question, because it's not really a defined
14	loading area. It's not like painted in the
15	parking lot
16	MR. STEINBERG: Right. And then in most
17	of the restaurants, if you look and, and those
18	kind of establishments even around, along Route 6
19	in Cortlandt don't have a, a separate dedicated
20	loading area.
21	MR. KESSLER: Okay. And has code also
22	looked to see the number of emergency exits? Is
23	it sufficient?
24	MR. KEHOE: I'd, I'd have to check with

1 June 6, 2023 2 that. MR. CUNNINGHAM: I doubt they looked at 3 this point, it'll have to comply with the code 4 5 anyway, the building code, so. MR. STEINBERG: Right. And each of the 6 7 stairways have, you know, they have an exit out. MR. KESSLER: Okay. 8 9 MR. STEINBERG: Yeah. So I mean we're, 10 you know, we're happy to answer any questions, we 11 have this team here. MR. KESSLER: Well, let's -- it's a 12 13 public hearing, so does anybody in the audience 14 that wishes to ask questions, comment on this 15 application. Please come up. State your name, 16 address. Yeah, whomever. Come on. 17 MS. LORRAINE LEVINS: Good evening. 18 Lorraine Levins, 2207 Jacobs Hill Road, Woodcrest 19 at Jacobs Hill Condominiums. I bring concerns to 20 the planning board this evening on behalf of the 21 many condominium owners at Woodcrest at Jacobs 22 Hill, which are designated 55 and over. And 23 hopefully echo the sentiments of the many of the

103 rental units, also designated 55 and over

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

further up on Jacob's Hill. There are issues that need to be addressed. Noise, traffic, traffic safety, emergency response by fire and ambulance. The cartoon drawings that have been presented of this proposed hotel do not depict what will be on the rooftop of the hotel. Atop commercial rooftops are very unsightly, large, noisy HVAC and exhaust systems that run 24/7. Such equipment atop the proposed hotel would be very, very close in proximity to the Woodcrest at Jacobs Hill Condo complex, more so, to the proximity of its building one. It would be a problematic noise issue. When you do your next site visit, park at the topmost lot of Pike Plaza. Turn off your engine and just listen to the noise being generated by Pike Plaza. Now imagine that magnified and coming from a hotel 24/7.

Any additional traffic by building this proposed hotel would create nightmarish travel for residents of Jacobs Hill Road. Each morning, I sit very patiently waiting to make a left turn onto Route 6 when I leave for work. It's the same situation when endeavoring to travel onto Route 6

2.0

2.3

2.4

towards the Cortlandt Town Center. I recently pulled over in Pike Plaza to see just how long the lights are. I timed the lights with a stopwatch. The green light to exit Jacobs Hill Road stays on for nine seconds, which allows for about four cars to get through. The red light then remains on for two full minutes and 40 seconds. There's no visible signage on Jacobs Hill Road, no outlet. Where's our large diamond shaped no outlet sign? Parkway Drive has one.

Further, there's no posting of a speed limit on Jacobs Hill Road. It's a speedway, with stop signs that are all too frequently ignored. There's one way in and one way out for over 150 units up on Jacobs Hill Road. Where's the signs? In the short seven years I've resided here, I've accounted several occasions where I could neither enter or exit Jacobs Hill Road. In September of 2018, there was an early morning fire at the very same property being discussed here tonight. It took several years and finally intervention by the town of Courtlandt supervisor to get the owners to finally clear out the unsightly huge

2.0

2.3

2.4

pile of burned out debris. Residents living on Jacobs Hill Road the morning of that fire were unable to leave because Jacobs Hill Road was blocked. Some of us ended up being late to work or late to appointments.

On another occasion, electric transformer exploded into flames near the pump house on Jacobs Hill Road. The road was completely blocked by emergency vehicles. The fire resulted in a power outage for all of Jacobs Hill Road. It created a serious situation for anyone relying on emergency services to reach them.

Is there sufficient water pressure now for the over 150 residential units up Jacobs Hill Road in the event of a fire? Will there be sufficient water pressure in the event of fire if the building of this proposed hotel were to go forward? It was presented at a previous meeting as to how the largest of Mohegans' fire rigs could navigate the property, the hotel property, if needed. The concern is not how many and how large the fire apparatus that can navigate the

2.3

2.4

June 6, 2023

property. The concern is will fire hydrants become just props similar to Peekskill? Will there be sufficient water pressure to reach residents living way up on Jacobs Hill Road in the event of fire?

In 2013, fire erupted at the Hudson View Estate Apartments in Peekskill. Because the apartments were at a high elevation, there wasn't enough water pressure. Those apartments were completely destroyed. Just earlier this year, in January, 16 condo units were completely destroyed and leveled at the Hillcrest Park condo complex in Peekskill because of low water pressure that forced the fire department to run 1,500 feet of hose across four lanes of Bear Mountain Parkway to connect to a hydrant with better pressure.

What will happen if this hotel does not generate the occupancy and revenue they're counting on? It's been stated previously that there will not be a banquet facility. Would the owner or owners of this property be permitted to lease out rooms to government or local agencies for other housing needs? Could it possibly be

2.3

2.4

repurposed to long-term lodging as opposed to daily room rentals? It was stated at the last public hearing that this proposed hotel would be a positive and welcome addition to the area because of the many attractions. What attractions? Seriously, what attractions? This is not the appropriate location for the building of a hotel for many reasons.

Thank you for the opportunity to address the planning board this evening. Hopefully you'll consider long and hard about the concerns that have been presented as well as the concerns previously voiced by Parkway Drive residents.

Thank you. [applause]

MR. KESSLER: Anybody else wish to comment on the application?

MS. NADINE LINDSEY: My name is Nadine Lindsey, 2302 Jacobs Hill Road. I, my concern, when I look at what's happening here, you have seven buildings at the top of the hill. You have the plaza on that side, and then you're going have a hotel on this side, all emptying out onto Route 6, one emptying out. Then, at the same

2.3

point you have emptying out from the Bear

Mountain Parkway. Then you have all the traffic

that go to the gas station. So you have two, two

major entrances onto Route 6, which is busy

enough as it is. It, it just does seem that

there's too much at one point. I just can't

understand why that has to happen. I think you're

asking for there's enough traffic on Route 6 as

it is. But for all these extra new, the build-,

our buildings and the hotel, and then the traffic

that's going to, you can have, they're all

converging at one spot. Doesn't seem logical or

safe. Thank you. [applause]

MR. LOUIS FERRARI: Excuse me. Good evening, Cortlandt planning board. My name is Louis Ferrari, I live at 1101 Jacobs Hill Road. We're listening to everything that was been said tonight between the people that are looking to build this and some very effective comments, people I live with. And I think what it comes down to almost everywhere, it's all about money. What about the people that live there? And unfortunately, at times, after the fact, someone

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

looks or realizes what went wrong or why did we do this or why did we do that? And some very important effective points were brought up by one of the ladies that live in the same area that I live. So that needs to be and should be taken into a lot of consideration, not just about what somebody's building and making money on it and how many units, look at the effectiveness, like the other lady said, the roadway, the main, the main roadway, the coming up, the only the two lanes on Jacob Hill Road, that's very effective in a lot of ways that can have some very negative consequences down the road. All that needs to be taken into consideration. No, it's, I, I know it in life, it's all right, business, let's make money. All those things need to be considered. That's my thoughts on it.

MR. KESSLER: I appreciate it. Thank you. [applause] Anybody else wish to comment?

MS. REGINA BAKER: Hi, Regina Baker,

1310 Jacobs Hill. I just want to know, is this a done deal or are we really wasting our time being here?

2.3

MR. KESSLER: It's not a done deal till we vote on it.

MS. BAKER: Okay. Because in the past couple of weeks, I've seen accidents again, about the traffic. Now, this is a new one I saw. We were, I was coming out of Jacobs Hill. I was making a -- waiting to make a left, and someone was in my right, came out, made a right did a uturn and went straight down Route 6. It was incredible. I mean, this is at 6:30 in the morning, and I'm thinking, oh gosh, what's going happen when it's at with all the traffic and the rebuilding of the sidewalks and everything?

What's going happen if that's going happen again? I have seen this a number of times that this person has done it quite a few times.

Also, people coming up from Route 6, they want to make a, a left going into Jacobs
Hill or they come up the wrong way and boom.
Accidents. We've had a very bad accident where they knocked down the whole sign, down there, on Pikes -- where it says Pikes Plaza. That was all knocked down. So just to take in consideration,

1	June 6, 2023
2	please, all these things, as a group of senior
3	citizens
4	MR. KESSLER: As Chris mentioned, you
5	know, he's been in touch with DOT about the Jacob
6	Hill entrance and making sure people don't go on
7	the left side instead of the right side.
8	MS. BAKER: There are arrows. Thank, you
9	know, there were arrows put there.
10	MR. KESSLER: Yeah, but there's
11	something more needs to be done, and I think we
12	all recognized that when we were there.
13	MS. BAKER: Okay.
14	MR. KESSLER: And, and then that's why
15	Chris is talking to the state about that.
16	MS. BAKER: Okay.
17	MR. KESSLER: So, I would think there'll
18	be some improvements that you'll see there,
19	hopefully soon.
20	MS. BAKER: Okay. Thank you.
21	MR. KESSLER: All right. And, and as
22	well as the traffic lights are, you know, this
23	nine second issue right, the DOT is now going to
24	redo all the traffic lights along Route 6, which

2.3

includes the one at Jacob Hill. And it'll take into account all the development that's taking place already on Route 6. And all the lights will now be timed so that the traffic will flow a lot better and hopefully they will also time the lights better so people can get out of Jacob Hill, not just four cars. But that --

MR. KEHOE: Right. And also for the record, the applicant's traffic consultant is here and the town's traffic consultant are here taking notes. Our town traffic consultant is well versed with the New York State DOT, and we'll get information back to the board regarding the traffic concerns.

MS. BAKER: When I was waiting at the light where that Gasland is going -- there's 16 lights are going across, 16 lights, you know, and I said, you know, this is incredible. How many is going come down, how many is going stay? It's just unbelievable because of route -- the traffic on Route 6. I wish you did all of this in December when the traffic is even worse going to, you know, going up to Jacob going up to the JV

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

Mall or going to Wal-Mart. Is that the big attraction? I don't know because, but anyway thank you very much for listening.

MR. KESSLER: Thank you. Any other comments? Sure, sir.

MR. BOB BAKER: Good evening. Bob Baker, 1310 Jacobs Hill Road. You just brought up whether or not there will be the additional traffic lights that are going that are going go opposite Gasland. We've, yeah, my wife and I have lived along the Route 6 corridor for over 50 years. We've done numerous traffic studies over the years for every time, you know, like a new development comes. Every time they tell us the traffic is going be, you know, going get better. There's no magic solution to this. Traffic is tied up at the top of Lexington Avenue. All right, from Conklin Avenue to Lexington Avenue, there's you know, there's nine traffic lights presently existing. There's another proposal for one to go up near Sinclair. You're stopping every a hundred yards, 120 yards. It's only a mile and a half along Cortlandt Boulevard there. Traffic

2 is a disaster. The entrance, you know, to this hotel being on Jacobs Hill Road where there is 3 only one, one way in and one way out, it's a 4 5 threat to the senior citizen community. And then, and, you know, the people living there. You're 6 7 going get additional problems that hotels bring with them, car theft. You know, it could, you 8 9 know, it could happen in like, in, you know, in 10 hotel parking lots. You're going get buses for 11 weddings and, you know, different events where 12 people are going be moving to different venues 13 and coming back at all hours of the night. So 14 you're going get buses, you're going get truck 15 deliveries, you're going get additional traffic, 16 additional pedestrian traffic now coming down 17 from the hotel, maybe going over to Gasland, 18 which will have a convenience store. And that'll 19 be the, the nearest thing. That's going slow down 20 traffic even more. Traffic is, is already a 21 disaster and it's only going get worse. You can't 22 fix it with some magic lights. Not the 14 2.3 existing traffic lights that are sitting there 24 waiting to, you know, to be operational whenever

2.3

2 Gasland becomes operational. Thank you.

MR. KESSLER: Thank you. [applause]
Chris, maybe we should spend a couple minutes
just talking about the plans on the, on the
lighting for everybody's benefit here on, on the,
along Route 6 from that traffic consultant.

MR. KEHOE: Well, I

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Should, we have their traffic consultant speak and then our can also follow up as well?

MR. KEHOE: I don't want too much -talk too much about traffic. All I, you know,
because I'm not an expert, but all I can say is,
I think today they started repaving Route 6. I
mean, it may not have been noticed yet, but I
think they let the contract and they're starting.
There'll be additional sidewalks and then the,
the lights that aren't adaptive, which are on
this end of the road, will be made adaptive.

MR. KESSLER: Why, why don't we, why don't we, why don't we have the consultants explain for the audience exactly what's going happen in terms of the traffic lighting? It's a

2 major change that's taking place taking place.

MR. STEINMETZ: So Mr. Chairman, I, I agree.

MR. KESSLER: You're being skeptical, but it's a major change.

MR. STEINMETZ: We're, We're going have Gordon Stansbury from GTS Traffic, although Gordon was not directly involved in the Gasland traffic analysis. I think HVEA was the reviewing company, Gordon can discuss, and one of the things that I think some of the speakers left out, as you implied, the adaptive traffic controls that are now going be installed. So, Gordon.

MR. GORDON STANSBURY: Good evening,
Gordon Stansbury, GTS Consulting, the traffic
engineer on the project. I wanted to touch base
on a couple things on both comments that were
made and talk a little bit about overall signal
operation. The comment that Jacob Hill only gets
nine seconds of green time, that is based on the
demand of traffic that is on Jacobs Hill. The
signals are currently operating as coordinated

2.3

signals. So whenever you have a coordinated signal, it's going hold green on the main road which is Route 6. The current signal timing plans out there operate on a 90-second cycle length.

But if, if you have -- basically if you look at a a clock, you have a window of when Jacobs Hill can get the green light. Once that window passes, it has to get all the way around the clock to stay in coordination before you have the opportunity to get green again. There's a very small window at the beginning of that green window that if there's not a car there, it will skip the phase and you have to go all the way around the clock again.

So, looking at the actual volumes on Jacobs Hill Road in the morning during the peak hour, it's only about 30 to 40 cars that come off of that road. So when you look at a 90-second cycle length over an hour, there's 36, there's 40 cycles of the signal in an hour. So if there's roughly 40 cars, that's roughly one per that allowable window. So if, if there's not a car there at that little spot, you're going wait

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

another minute and a half before you get the opportunity again. So it's not really a capacity issue, it's just a signal function issue with a signal coordination along a main corridor like Route 6. So that's the first piece I wanted to note.

The second piece I wanted to note was the concern with the increase in traffic. I think it's very important to remember that we're, we're not building a Wal-Mart. A hotel is a very low traffic generator overall. The trip generation estimates are, are not numbers that we just pull out of the sky. They're based on the IT trip generation manual, which is basically the, the traffic engineer's bible for estimating traffic generated. And a hotel of this size with 93 rooms generates about 30 to 40 cars entering and exiting during the peak periods. So compared to the cycle lengths, it's about one car entering and exiting per cycle of lights. It's not going add, you know, 20 cars coming out each cycle of light. It's not going cause additional backups. The traffic study that we've done conservatively

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

looked at a, a build condition with the Gasland traffic, with the Sinclair traffic and with this traffic added, and it showed no cumulative impact on top of the gas stations that are, are planned out there. And HVEA has reviewed the study and concurred with the, the methodology and the findings that we've done.

So now I want to step back to the, the adaptive control signals. What an adaptive control signal does, it's still going operate on a coordinated cycle so that we can get the, the, the heavy through traffic on Route 6 through, but it allows the signal to modify its timing plan. So instead of saying, you know, this traffic light works on a 90-second cycle length with a 30-second window for Jacobs Hill and a 60-second window for the main road. If it starts to see heavier demands on the side, it can say, okay, we're going coordinate, we're going change the whole system to an 80-second cycle length for a little bit and turn over quicker, or we're going add time to the side to make sure traffic is clearing off. So that responsiveness allows it to

2.3

kind of evolve with traffic as it fluctuates.

And that's, that's really where people aren't used to seeing that. They're used to seeing just a standard old time-based coordinated system where the light just sits in green and you, you can, in the middle of the night, you can pull up on the side road and you're like, why am I sitting here for 35 seconds when there's no traffic on the main road? Because it's stuck in that coordination and it's got to hold until it gets all the way around that clock. An adaptive based system is going to give it more versatility.

Is it going to fix everything? No. We, we talked about the analysis that I completed, we still show longer delays on the eastern off ramp, even with the adaptive control. And that's due to the heavy volumes that, the through volumes on Route 6. We, we can't, that's always going to be the controlling factor and occupy a heavy portion of the green time. But that flexibility's going to have an incremental improvement.

MR. KESSLER: Right. And, but, and also

2.3

it'll coordinate all the lights along the road as well, so that, you know, to the gentleman's point where he gets stuck at the next light that will be lessened?

MR. STANSBURY: It, it should be. As you put in new signals and new timing plans, you improve the coordination plan. You know, in a perfectly coordinated system, you, you get the green light here and you should see down the road, you should see the lights turning green as you are approaching them, because that's what keeps that main platoon of traffic through. I can't promise that they're going work perfectly. It's ultimately going be a state DOT timing plan. But that is the ultimate goal of signal coordination.

MR. KESSLER: Thank you.

MR. STEINMETZ: One final comment behind Gordon's. I'm just going read one sentence from your traffic engineer's memo to Chris. After reviewing our expert's report, we concur that the development as presented shall have no significant impact on traffic conditions in the

1	June 6, 2023
2	immediate area, given the circumstances as
3	outlined below. And Mr. Ellis or his colleague
4	obviously can speak for himself, but that was the
5	town's traffic consultant after reviewing our
6	traffic consultant's analysis.
7	MR. KESSLER: Any additional comments
8	from the audience? Board? So, David, so there's
9	some open issues. So, on the solar issue on the
10	roof.
11	MR. STEINMETZ: Correct.
12	MR. KESSLER: The
13	MR. STEINMETZ: The EV stations that Mr.
14	Douglas and I discussed.
15	MR. KESSLER: And you didn't mention it,
16	but will all the utilities now be underground?
17	MR. STEINMETZ: Yes.
18	MR. KESSLER: Okay.
19	MR. STEINMETZ: The answer is yes. We
20	would ask Mr. Chairman, that the board consider
21	drafting a proposed resolution for at least
22	discussion at the next meeting. We obviously have
23	quite a bit of time in light of your July
24	schedule. So we have no objection if you wish to

1	June 6, 2023
2	hold the public hearing open. That's your, you
3	know, that's up to you. I think we've heard kind
4	of the same public comments, but that's your
5	board's call, but we would like to proceed with
6	further discussion of conditions.
7	MR. KESSLER: Who was out there today?
8	The tree?
9	MR. KEHOE: Yes, so, so I, I would keep
10	the public hearing open.
11	MR. KESSLER: To get that report, yeah.
12	MR. KEHOE: Yeah. But, but I have no
13	problem holding a resolution in abeyance.
14	MR. KESSLER: You mean start drafting
15	one?
16	MR. KEHOE: Yes.
17	MR. KESSLER: Okay. Let, let's keep the
18	public hearing open. I think that's wise.
19	MR. BIANCHI: Okay. Do, do we also want
20	to hear from the ARC?
21	MR. KEHOE: It has been referred to the
22	ARC, you know, and then, and David mentioned
23	these. This is, yes, the main feature of the
24	building, I don't know. And this is an accent

1 June 6, 2023 2 color? MR. STEINMETZ: Christian, Brian, 3 anybody wants to speak to that very briefly. 4 5 MR. CHRISTIAN FREEMAN: So the, the green is, is going situate itself next to the 6 7 windows on the building, as an accent. And it's not every window either. It's just a few, just to 8 9 give it some color. The other colors are those 10 warm tones that we discussed, that wood color and the, and the tan EIFS, yeah, that you have in 11 12 your hands there. 13 MR. KEHOE: Yep. The, I don't -- the ARC 14 hasn't actually seen these. Maybe they were referred to on the drawing. It's been sent to the 15 16 ARC. 17 MR. KESSLER: Okay. 18 MR. KEHOE: I'll remind them, because as 19 I said, their main issue was views into the site, 20 which is the purpose of the site inspection 21 today, talking about the trees. They didn't 22 really comment on the architecture too much. 2.3 MR. KESSLER: Yeah, I'm, I'm still

concerned about the wall that's facing Route 6,

24

1 June 6, 2023 what that's going look like. And that's just 2 going look like what the brown? The brown and the 3 4 green? 5 MR. FREEMAN: So the entire building is articulated. There will be no one wall that 6 7 doesn't have either windows or some sort of a push-pull in the face of the building. So 8 9 everything will have an articulation between 10 windows or step edge back. 11 MR. KESSLER: Okay. Does the ARC have a 12 representation of that, or did you have a --13 MR. STEINMETZ: I think we've given, 14 yeah, given graphic representations of the, of the entire building. And Chris, if the ARC wants 15 16 any kind of dialogue or, or questions answered, 17 you, you know how to find us, and we're happy to 18 share as much information as they need. 19 MR. KEHOE: All right. 20 MR. DOUGLAS: Well, for the people in 21 the, in public, ARC means Architectural Review 22 Council. So we're, we're not speaking in code 2.3 here.

MR. KESSLER: Yeah, Yeah. We're not

24

1	June 6, 2023
2	experts in terms of, you know, so we, we defer to
3	them to review the architecture of many of the
4	applications that we see to see if it conforms
5	with the town and it's aesthetically pleasing, if
6	you will, in, at least in their mind.
7	MR. KEHOE: Yeah, I'm just trying to get
8	an image. It's in, in order to enlarge it enough
9	to see it, it sort of loses some of its
10	sharpness. But, you know, that, I think that's
11	one of the ideas where you see the brown, then
12	you see the white, and then you see some of that,
13	I mean, it, it really gets bad, but then you see
14	some of the green around the windows.
15	MR. STEINMETZ: Mm-hmm.
16	MR. KEHOE: So and that's
17	MR. KESSLER: That's facing Jacobs
18	Hill?
19	MR. STEINMETZ: Jacobs Hill
20	MR. KESSLER: Jacobs Hill.
21	MR. STEINMETZ: and Pike. And so that
22	would be pretty much all the sides look like
23	that? There are windows on the south side also?
24	MR. FREEMAN: That condition shows every

1	June 6, 2023
2	element of the building other than the roof that
3	I suppose you [unintelligible] [01:27:35].
4	MR. KESSLER: Microphone.
5	MR. STEINMETZ: Christian, just do this
6	at the mic so that we don't miss it.
7	MR. KESSLER: The, the south side of the
8	building also is basically the same? Windows and
9	
10	MR. FREEMAN: Correct, correct.
11	MR. KESSLER: Okay.
12	MR. FREEMAN: Yeah, and you'll see the
13	EIFS, and in the bottom right, you see a little
14	inset piece of that wood.
15	MR. KESSLER: Yep.
16	MR. FREEMAN: That wood paneling. So
17	that, that will be visible on all sides. All
18	sides have the tan EIFS, the green accent windows
19	here and there, and then the inset wood feature
20	just to give it more variation and some
21	articulation.
22	MR. KESSLER: Okay.
23	MR. KEHOE: But based on my initial
24	contact with the ARC, they did not express any

	Page 95
1	June 6, 2023
2	great concern.
3	MR. KESSLER: Okay.
4	MR. STEINMETZ: Thank you.
5	MR. ROTHFEDER: Oh so do we think we'll
6	be ready for a resolution?
7	MR. KEHOE: Well, it does you're
8	going continue the public hearing.
9	MR. KESSLER: We'll continue the public
10	hearing.
11	MR. ROTHFEDER: Yeah.
12	MR. KESSLER: So we'll see at the next
13	meeting. And we just, we'll just
14	MR. KEHOE: But I, I'll, I'll have one.
15	You don't have to do anything with it. But we'll,
16	we'll see how the, the tree work goes.
17	MR. KESSLER: So we'll get a written
18	report from the
19	MR. KEHOE: Well, he's going do two
20	things. He's, he's going do a written report, but
21	he's also going provide information about if the
22	project moves forward, his ideas for a tree
23	preservation plan and protection of drip lines
24	and the impact of pruning trees, things like

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

June 6, 2023 that. But he's going do some additional inventory work, which he should have by the 25th, right, Matt? That's what we agreed to in the field. MR. STEINMETZ: One of the, I, I don't

want one of the comments to go unresponded to by anyone. So in terms of water pressure, we wholeheartedly agree that this hotel and the area needs to have adequate water pressure for fire safety and for potability purposes. My understanding is that our engineers, your engineer and the Department of Health will be ensuring that there is adequate water potability and water pressure. And none of that is being ignored, certainly by our client or by Marriott.

MR. DOUGLAS: One of the members of the, one of the members of the public had some question about the what would be on the roof. Do we have -- do we, have we provided her with that?

MR. STEINMETZ: Christian?

MR. KEHOE: That, that's a good point. I don't know if we've ever really seen a roof plan to get an idea of the type of mechanicals that will be up there.

1	June 6, 2023
2	MR. KESSLER: Yeah.
3	MR. FREEMAN: I can have the architect
4	generate one of those. We currently haven't shown
5	anything but we, we certainly can provide that.
6	MR. KEHOE: And you have
7	MR. KESSLER: And, and I imagine there
8	must be some noise mitigation aspects to
9	MR. FREEMAN: I'm sure there are, yes.
10	MR. KESSLER: that will pro-, you
11	know, that will be protecting the uphill people.
12	MR. FREEMAN: We've got it noted and
13	we'll, we'll talk that with the architect,
14	whether it's some sort of a knee wall or some
15	sort of a, a screening element that helps deflect
16	noise.
17	MR. STEINMETZ: And this property
18	certainly has to comply with the town's noise
19	ordinance, as we well know.
20	MR. KESSLER: Right. Yeah. But anything
21	more you can do to mitigate
22	MR. STEINMETZ: Understood.
23	MR. KESSLER: we appreciate it.
24	MR. STEINMETZ: We will see you

1	June 6, 2023
2	MR. KESSLER: Oh, but not yet.
3	MR. STEINMETZ: Not yet.
4	MR. ROTHFEDER: I move that we adjourn,
5	adjourn the public hearing to the next meeting.
6	And you're going come back with all these
7	elements
8	MR. STEINMETZ: Yes.
9	MR. ROTHFEDER: To just show us a
10	revised plan, essentially.
11	MR. STEINMETZ: Yes.
12	MR. KESSLER: Second, please.
13	MR. BIANCHI: Second.
14	MR. KESSLER: And on the question, all
15	in favor?
16	MULTIPLE: Aye.
17	MR. KESSLER: Opposed?
18	MR. STEINMETZ: See you at the end of
19	July. Thank you.
20	MR. KESSLER: The end of July. Thank
21	you. All right. Two more items tonight, new
22	business. The first item is the application of
23	Dr. Jaish Markos for amended site plan approval
24	for a proposed 964-square foot building addition

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

to an existing dental office located at 1 Jerome Drive. Drawings dated May 25, 2023. Is there -- oh, there he is. Mr. Lentini.

MR. JOHN LENTINI: Good evening. Good evening, Mr. Chairman, members of the board and staff. Ladies, congratulations. I see a new member, two, perhaps, and three.

MR. KESSLER: Three.

MR. LENTINI: I'm John Lentini, architect. I'm representing Dr. Markos, who's here behind me. Dr. Markos and his wife bought this building at 1 Jerome, that has been a dentist office. It is a residential looking building on the end of a residential neighborhood. Incidentally, I was recommended to Dr. Markos from the neighbor, so I'm not sure what that says, but, you know we have at least some cooperation, the person right behind us. The building being awkward and our ability to expand it created a need for a variance for a front yard and a side yard setback, but it is not a complete setback. There are little corners of each of those proposed additions that will require, it's

2.3

a total of 129 square feet that would be in the setback requirement. We are attempting to accommodate a modern dentist office, Dr. Markos, been a dentist 20 plus years. He has a business now that caters to children. I imagine nobody here has been there unless they brought their children. But you walk in, it's like a game room. You know, I don't want to go into the operating room. I'd rather stay and play the games, but he wants to expand.

And right now we're adding five operatories in addition to the two or so that are in there. And each of them have a specific purpose that's not like -- I haven't actually quizzed the doc on how many dentists are going be employed, but if you have any questions that I can't answer, he's available to answer. The addition is, is straightforward and it was designed to avoid disturbing the site. We're leaving all the curbs, all the asphalt. There's one little space in the front that may be curved off, but otherwise the exit, entrance will be the same. We're looking for a modern clean, you know,

very you know, professional looking building. And 2 I've shown just a simple direct solution, one 3 story with uniform windows and a, a distinct 4 5 parapet that will be a sign space. I haven't, you know, I know eventually I would have to submit a 6 7 sign application. And the total amount we're adding is 968 square feet. Now this building has 8 9 two meters. I'm not, I haven't determined why, 10 but apparently the upstairs might have been used 11 as a residence. But the neighbor who recommended 12 me said, as long as he's known, there's only been 13 the dentist there. And we're not intending on 14 using this as anything but a dentist office, a 15 dentist stop practice. And that upstairs will be 16 now an expansion of the break room, private 17 offices for the owner. And it's been very 18 carefully thought out to meet his minimum 19 requirements for x-ray machines. And, you know, 20 there's these machines for [unintelligible] [01:34:23]. You know, you, you stand up and, you 21 22 know, I only know what I know from going to the 2.3 dentist, so I shouldn't talk anymore about the 24 business. But I know that there's no noise except

1 June 6, 2023 for people screaming. [laughter] But in any event 2 if you have any questions, I'll be delighted or 3 the doctor will be delighted to answer. 4 5 MR. KEHOE: The, the only thing is that you know, it's been 25 years since the site 6 7 plan was approved for Dr. Schoenberg. And there is a wetland, there is a stream nearby. 8 9 MR. LENTINI: Yes. 10 MR. KEHOE: Which we would need to have 11 Paul Jaehnig, our wetland consultant redelineate. 12 And you, I mean, you may -- we do have to fund 13 the escrow account as we discussed. MR. LENTINI: We discussed funding. 14 15 MR. KEHOE: That's fine. 16 MR. LENTINI: I did provide, when they 17 bought the property, they had to determine 18 whether they needed flood insurance. So I, I 19 don't know if you have the plans up there, but if you flipped, well, I think that's the one. 20 21 MR. KEHOE: Yep. 22 MR. LENTINI: It shows that half of the

lot, the parking lot, is in a flood zone and the

building itself is about seven feet higher than

2.3

24

2.3

2.4

the wetland. Talking to the neighbors there, nobody's ever experienced the flood. You know, even though it's supposed to be a hundred year flood zone, and we've had several hundred year flood zones in the last several years. But it doesn't get wet. There's a very good drainage system that creates the back of the town center is the brunt of all that water that's collected. And then there's streams that run, cross under Route 6. But it hasn't demonstrated a problem at this neighborhood. But I understand the need to delineate the wetlands.

MR. KEHOE: And then as, as I mentioned it will go to the architectural review board, and then I'll do a review memo, and then maybe at your next meeting, similar to what you did for the hotel, maybe you'll send it over to the zoning board. So, you know --

MR. KESSLER: For the variances?

MR. KEHOE: Yeah, you don't, it's not as complicated as the hotel.

MR. KESSLER: Right.

MR. KEHOE: We can decide how we want to

1	June 6, 2023
2	work that. I'll talk to Michael Cunningham, but
3	they do need to grant variances.
4	MR. LENTINI: Is it possible we can get
5	to the zoning board sooner than later?
6	MR. CUNNINGHAM: You can, You can apply
7	for, you can get your denial letter from Martin
8	if you actually
9	MR. LENTINI: I, I'm not clear, Martin
10	actually was instrumental in our plan because it
11	was a little larger and he helped us maneuver
12	MR. KEHOE: But, I he'll
13	MR. LENTINI: maneuver it to the
14	smallest variance possible.
15	MR. KEHOE: He'll have to issue the you
16	the denial, which I think he's prepared to do,
17	because as you said, you've worked with him a lot
18	on this.
19	MR. LENTINI: Yes.
20	MR. KEHOE: And that's what you need to
21	get to the zoning board. But I guess what Michael
22	is saying is we can get you to the zoning board
23	as soon as possible.
24	MR. LENTINI: So we couldn't go ahead

1	Page 105 June 6, 2023
2	and apply for, for zoning?
3	MR. KEHOE: You've got to check with
4	Martin because until I get the denial letter from
5	Martin, you can't apply.
6	MR. LENTINI: Okay.
7	MR. KESSLER: Okay. Any other issues if
8	not, Tom?
9	MR. BIANCHI: Okay. Mr. Chairman, are
10	you move that we refer this back to staff for
11	review and comment.
12	MR. KESSLER: Second, please.
13	MR. LENTINI: Pardon me. Could we
14	request a public hearing on this or that would be
15	too premature?
16	MR. CUNNINGHAM: I, I don't even believe
17	you'll need a public hearing on this.
18	MR. LENTINI: Oh, you don't think you
19	need one?
20	MR. CUNNINGHAM: No.
21	MR. LENTINI: Oh, okay.
22	MR. KESSLER: We'll do it
23	MR. LENTINI: Fabulous. I'm sorry,
24	forget it.

1	June 6, 2023
2	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Unless, unless you
3	really want one. Do you really want one?
4	MR. LENTINI: No. [laughter]
5	MR. KESSLER: We're on the is that a
6	second?
7	MS. HILDINGER: Second.
8	MR. KESSLER: Thank you. On the
9	question. All in favor?
10	MULTIPLE: Aye.
11	MR. KESSLER: Opposed? Thank you.
12	MR. LENTINI: Thank you very much.
13	MR. KESSLER: All right. You're welcome.
14	All right. Last item tonight, new business
15	application of NK Electric for site development
16	plan approval, and a special permit for a
17	specialty trade electrical contractor located in
18	an existing building at 465 Yorktown Road.
19	Drawings dated May 30, 2023.
20	MR. KEHOE: They were both here. It was
21	Jim Annicchiarico from Cronin and the NK
22	Electric. They might be out in the hall.
23	MR. KESSLER: Oh, okay.
24	MR. KEHOE: I, I still think I see some

1 June 6, 2023 2 people there. MR. KESSLER: Couldn't take it anymore. 3 4 MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yep. Yep. 5 MR. KESSLER: You're on. 6 MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Hi. Sorry. 7 MR. KESSLER: Okay. So. MR. ANNICCHIARICO: All right. Jim 8 9 Annicchiarico with Cronin Engineering, here to 10 represent NK Electric LLC, Nick Kalyvas, for a 11 site plan approval and special permit which is 12 required for a specialty trade contractor in this 13 zone, which is the HC Zone, Highway commercial. 14 The parcel is just under 12,000 square feet, a 15 quarter of an acre, just over a quarter of an 16 acre. It's triangular in shape. Uh, it fronts on 17 just the, I guess the end of Mount Airy Road East 18 and Route 129. A specialty trade contractor is 19 allowable, permitted by special permit, in this 20 zone. I've listed, or in my letter, I listed to

> We are not proposing any new buildings. It's the existing structure that was there that

specialty trade contractor.

you the chapter which lists some criteria for the

21

22

2.3

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

was once residential. It's being cleaned up or will be cleaned up by Mr. Kalyvas, and renovated as his office for his business, his electrical contracting business. We are looking to park six trucks, vans on the site and we've provided four parking spaces in the front for employees in the office. The property has an existing well that provides portable water for it. And it has an existing onsite sewage wastewater treatment system which is, you know, as old as the building, I suppose. I tried to get some information from the health department on it, but none was available. Although we were able to --Chris, through Chris, we were able to get a site plan that Mr. Mastromonaco had proposed at the site years ago. And somehow he was able to locate some seepage pits and the septic tank on the property, which we've shown.

MR. KESSLER: And what and what's there now?

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Right now it's just a vacant building.

MR. KESSLER: Just a vacant building.

2.3

2.4

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: So it, it, as we, as
I had mentioned, you know, we propose it to be
his office for his electrical contracting
business. We will store materials, electrical
materials for, for the jobs in the basement. You
know, they're not materials that would ever be
stored outside as, you know, the elements would
negatively impact them. That's one of the
criteria for the specialty trade contractor, that
there is no outdoor storage or materials. And we
are well aware of that and we are fine with that.

MR. KESSLER: And, and Chris, you mentioned we have to get DEC involved here?

MR. KEHOE: New York City, DEP, it's in the watershed. And then New York State, DOT because you did mention -- one, one of the things you're going clean up the site because it's, you know, it's been more or less derelict for years and years. Additional landscaping and there is this landscape bed out here, but that's not on your property.

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Correct.

MR. KEHOE: That's the New York State

1	June 6, 2023
2	DOT right away. So we'd have to check with them
3	about that and so
4	MR. KESSLER: Okay. All right. So we're
5	going have to refer this back and get this moving
6	it along.
7	MR. KEHOE: Yep.
8	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Understood.
9	MR. KESSLER: So there's no state
10	involvement here on the DEC? Or you say the city,
11	sorry.
12	MR. KEHOE: It's New York City because
13	it's in the watershed.
14	MR. KESSLER: Is this a CEA, Critical
15	Environmental Area?
16	MR. KEHOE: It probably is. Yeah, so
17	that's why you, you know, there's a coordinated
18	review for this and I'll figure out who the
19	interested and involved agencies are.
20	MR. KESSLER: But that's okay. Alright.
21	Any other comments from the board? If not, Peter?
22	MR. MCKINLEY: So we're not
23	MR. KEHOE: Yes, You're going do that
24	too, intent, yeah.

1	June 6, 2023
2	MR. MCKINLEY: Okay. I move for PB 2023-
3	3, that be referred back to staff for next steps.
4	And the planning board would declare to be lead
5	agency on the site plan submitted by NK Electric,
6	located at 465 Yorktown Road.
7	MR. KESSLER: Second, please.
8	MR. ROTHFEDER: Second
9	MR. KESSLER: On the question. All in
10	favor?
11	MULTIPLE: Aye.
12	MR. KESSLER: Opposed?
13	MR. MCKINLEY: Meeting is adjourned.
14	Time is 8:15.
15	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Thank you very much.
16	MR. KESSLER: Thank you.
17	(The public board meeting concluded at
18	8:15 p.m.)

## CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY

I, Ryan Manaloto, certify that the foregoing transcript of the Planning Board Meeting of the Town of Cortlandt on June 6, 2023 was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Certified By

Phlot

Date: June 21, 2023

GENEVAWORLDWIDE, INC

256 West 38th Street - 10th Floor New York, NY 10018